DETERMINATION OF AMMONIACAL NITROGEN IN SAMPLES OF FOOD, SOIL, FERTILIZERS AND WATER BASED ON THE REACTION WITH FORMALDEHYDE
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ABSTRACT

Ammonium ion of digested samples of food, soil, fertilizer and water was determined based on the reaction of the ammonium ion with formaldehyde, and then titrated with sodium hydroxide solution. The optimization of variables such as the amount of formaldehyde and EDTA concentration carried out through univariate analysis. Under optimized conditions, the method allowed ammonium determination with the achieved detection limit of 1.83 mg L⁻¹, a quantification limit of 6.11 mg L⁻¹ and precision of 6.0 - 0.5% for ammonium solutions of 0.400 - 3.773 mg L⁻¹ concentration, respectively. The procedure was validated using the Kjeldahl method. It was found that there are no significant differences between the results obtained by the proposed method and those validated by the Kjeldahl method in the determination of ammonium in samples of water, soil, fertilizer and oat. The proposed procedure resulted in a simple, fast and cost effective method to the determination of ammonium in routine analysis.

RESUMO

O íon amônio de amostras digeridas de alimentos, solo, fertilizante e água foi determinado baseado na reação do íon amônio com formaldeído e, em seguida, titulado com solução de hidróxido de sódio. A otimização de variáveis como quantidade de formaldeído e concentração de EDTA foi realizada por meio de análise univariada. Em condições otimizadas, o método permitiu a determinação de amônio com o limite de detecção alcançado de 1.83 mg L⁻¹, um limite de quantificação de 6.11 mg L⁻¹ e precisão de 6.0 - 0.5% para soluções de amônio na concentração de 0.400 - 3.773 mg L⁻¹, respectivamente. Verificou-se que não há diferenças significativas entre os resultados obtidos pelo método proposto e os validados pelo método de Kjeldahl na determinação de amônio em amostras de água, solo, fertilizante e aveia. O procedimento proposto resultou em um método simples, rápido e de baixo custo para a determinação de amônio em análises de rotina.
1. INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen is an element essential for life as part of the vital processes of plants and animals, this significantly participating in various biological and non-biological processes in the environment (Vargas et al. 1993). The presence of nitrogen is fundamental in the metabolism of plants, thereby being used in the synthesis of proteins and other organic compounds, such as amino acids, nucleotides and coenzymes (Donato et al. 1999). In places lacking nitrogen, food production is limited and the crops are often insufficient to supply the food demand (National Academy of Sciences, 1972).

The development, optimization and application of methods for the determination of total and inorganic nitrogen in various environmental samples are objects of great interest in the analytical community. Total nitrogen was determined in biological samples (Berdeaux et al. 2009; Saleh et al. 2009; Morandi et al. 2009), soil (Barthes et al. 2006), sediments (Li et al. 2007), water (Oms et al. 2003), food (Shama and Singh. 2009; Del Campo et al. 2009; Hu et al. 2008; Pasquini and Faria, 1987), atmospheric deposition (Karthikeyan et al. 2009) and fertilizer (Pasquini and Faria, 1987). These analyses are motivated by the loss of nitrogen from soil to groundwater and marine ecosystems - causing eutrophication - and by the high toxicity of these compounds formed in living organisms (Monser et al. 2002).

The Kjeldahl method is one of the oldest methods of total nitrogen determination, dating back to the last century, figuring a classical wet chemistry, and is still widely used (Karthikeyan et al. 2009). The analysis in the Kjeldahl digest merely involves boiling a sample in sulfuric acid for several hours in the presence of mercury or copper catalysts. The extraction yields nitrogen as ammonium sulfate, which is slightly distilled in the form of ammonia under alkaline medium (Pavlova et al. 2009), or can be used in colorimetric determination (Pontes et al. 2009).

The distillation and titration stages are methods traditionally performed, as in the British Standards Institution (1986), for the determination of total nitrogen, in the form of ammonia, from Kjeldahl digests of soils, plants, cereals, food, fertilizer products and many other matrices. However, these stages require specific instrumentation for distillation and titration; also, they are exhaustive and consume too much time and manpower when a large number of samples are needed (British Standards Institution, 1986). The extraction of nitrogen from Kjeldahl digest can be done using several other methods; among them, the colorimetric method, mostly used for the determination of ammonium traces and based on the Berthelot reaction (Searle, 1984), which brings some disadvantages, such as: (i) the use of a carcinogenic reagent (phenol), (ii) in micromolar concentration range, the precision is rarely greater than 10%, and (iii) the blank measurements are typically high and unstable (Pontes et al. 2009).

Pasquini and Faria, (1987) proposed and applied a conductometric method to determine ammonia in solutions obtained from Kjeldahl digestion. In this method, the ammonia was removed from the sample into a water stream, which was achieved by diffusing the ammonia through a PTFE membrane. Through this method, it is possible to analyze approximately 100 samples within an hour; yet, the method may have some interference caused by changes in conductance when other ions are present in the water stream.

Ferreira et al. (1998) developed a potentiometric to determine total nitrogen in soil digests. The solutions obtained by Kjeldahl digestion of soil samples were directly injected in the FIA system where ammonium was converted into ammonia. This gas diffused through a gas-permeable membrane to a buffer acceptor, where ammonia was reconverted into ammonium and finally led to a tubular ammonium ion-selective electrode with the sensor system composed of monacat in tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.

The methodology intended to assess total nitrogen using the methodology proposed by Kjeldahl has been modified to simplify and make the process faster (Pontes et al. 2009).

Marcali and Rieman (1946) described a Kjeldahl procedure in which the distillation of ammonia was eliminated. The method consists essentially of the digestion of organic matter by hot concentrated sulfuric acid in the presence of potassium sulfate and mercury catalyst, neutralization of the excess of sulfuric acid with the use of methyl red in alkaline medium, and the addition of formaldehyde and titrated with alkali, in order to reach the pink color of phenolphthalein.

In this paper, it is proposed the modification and the optimization of Marcali and Rieman (1946) method as to assess the total nitrogen in order to eliminate the distillation stage in the Kjeldahl method and perform the titration using a pH meter for the measurement of the final point. The method is based on Kjeldahl sample digestion, by adding formaldehyde and conducting subsequent titration with sodium hydroxide. Several real samples of food, soil, fertilizer and water were processed and the results obtained were compared with those provided by the Kjeldahl reference method.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Instrumentation

A digestion system (LMIM, model OE-202) suitable for heating eight borosilicate glass tubes (200 mL) up to 450 °C was used for the Kjeldahl digestion procedure.

A ball mill (Viatest, model BM) and a sieve shaker (Bertel, model 2208) with 100 meshes sieve (Granutest) was used for the preparation of the soil sample.

A magnetic stirrer (Tecnol, model TE 0853) was used in the homogenization of the reaction medium, whereas a pH meter (Tecnopor, model mPA-210) was used to measure the pH at the time of titration.

An automated potentiometric system with combined glass electrodes and reference Ag/AgCl interfaced to a microcomputer was used for the standardization of NaOH and ammonium solutions (Barbosa et al. 2013).

2.2. Reagents, standards and samples

All chemicals were analytical grade. The water was purified with Demineralizer ASD 1500 0.1–20 μS cm⁻¹. The following solutions were used: a digestion mixture consisting of 10 g of the K₂SO₄/CuSO₄; 2:1 (w/w) mixture + 100 mL water + 100 mL concentrated H₂SO₄ (Silva et al. 2006); 100.0 g of EDTA was previously dried in an oven at 70-80 °C for
2 h; after that, it was dissolved in deionized water and the volume made up to 1.00 L with water; the solutions of NaOH were prepared by dilution from a solution of 8 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH; this solution was standardized with potassium biphthalate for Gran’s method and the end point was determined by the second derivative procedure (Pehrsson et al. 1976). To prepare 3.773 g L\(^{-1}\) NH\(_4\)\(^+\) stock standard solutions, 6.607 g of (NH\(_4\)\(_2\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) were previously dried in an oven at 105 °C for 2 h, after the volume was made up to 500 mL with deionized water.

The solution of (NH\(_4\)\(_2\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) was standardized by means of Gran’s method and the end point was determined by the second derivative procedure (Pehrsson et al. 1976). Finally, the solution was stored in a dark bottle and kept in the refrigerator when not in use.

### 2.3. Sample treatment

The soil sample was collected in Viçosa, Minas Gerais state, Brazil. The soil sample was dried in an oven at 105 °C, for 4 h. Then, the sample was comminuted in a ball mill and sifted in a sieve shaker with 100 meshes sieve, weighted and submitted to digestion process.

The fertilizer and oat samples were purchased at the supermarkets in Viçosa, Minas Gerais state, Brazil and have not undergone previous treatments. They were thereafter submitted to digestion procedure.

The water samples were collected from São Bartolomeu River, Viçosa, Minas Gerais state, Brazil. The water samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membrane and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C, for later use.

### 2.4. Digestion procedure

Masses of 0.5000 g of soil and 0.3000 g of oat and fertilizers were weighted and transferred to 200 mL digesters tubes and 15.0 mL of digestion mixture solution containing K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) was added in order to increase the boiling temperature of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and the catalyst, CuSO\(_4\). Thus accelerating the oxidation of organic matter. After that, the solution of the tube was shaken to provoke a better contact with the sample and the digestion mixture: next, the tube was placed in the well of digestion system, which temperature was maintained at 150 °C for 1 h. The system was therefore maintained at 400 °C for 2 h. After cooling samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membrane and the volume was made up to 50.0 mL with deionized water.

### 2.5. Ammonium determination by the proposed method

The system employed for determination the nitrogen total content. 5.00 mL of the sample, previously filtered, and 15.0 mL of EDTA solution 100 g L\(^{-1}\) were placed in a 250 mL beaker and the pH was adjusted from 7.40 to 7.60 with NaOH. In addition, 10.0 mL formaldehyde 37% were added to the beaker (sample + EDTA) hence causing a decrease in pH. By determining the volume of titrant when the pH reached the value set previously, the mixture was titrated with a NaOH solution. The volume of NaOH for titration was used to calculate the concentration and total nitrogen by means of Equation I.

\[ C_{\text{ammonium}} = 0.28 \cdot f \cdot C_{\text{(NaOH)}} \cdot V_{\text{(NaOH)}} \]  

where, the numerical value (0.28) encompasses the volumes of the sample and the solutions added before the titration, \(C_{\text{ammonium}}\) is the concentration of ammonium ion, in g L\(^{-1}\); \(f\) is the correction factor of the sodium hydroxide concentration \((C_{\text{(NaOH)}})\), and \(V_{\text{(NaOH)}}\) stands for the volume of sodium hydroxide, in mL, spent in the titration.

### 2.6. Determination of total nitrogen by Kjeldahl treatment

An aliquot of 10.0 mL of the sample, previously submitted to the treatment was quantitatively transferred to a 15.0 mL test tube. Then, the sample was taken to the distillation system and distilled in the presence of 25.0 mL of NaOH 10.0 mol L\(^{-1}\). The titrated was collected into an Erlenmeyer flask and 15.0 mL of the indicator (a mixture of boric acid 2%, alcoholic solution of bromocresol green 0.1% w/v and alcoholic solution of methyl red 0.04% w/v) were added. Finally, the mixture was titrated with a solution of HCl 0.1104 mol L\(^{-1}\).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ammonium ion in aqueous solution is in equilibrium with ammonia, according to the reaction represented by equation II (Figure 1). In the presence of formaldehyde, the formation of an imine molecule consuming one molecule of ammonia occurs. At this moment, the equilibrium shift quantitatively consumes the ammonia and releases a stoichiometric amount of H\(_3\)O\(^+\) ion which participates in the reaction as a catalyst, hence accelerating the formation of the imine, represented by equation III (Figure 1). At the end of the reaction, the H\(_3\)O\(^+\) used is fully recovered, as it is shown in the mechanism of Figure 2 (Solomons, 1992), which illustrates the decrease in pH after the addition of formaldehyde. Considering the volume of sodium hydroxide standard solution consumed in the titration of H\(_3\)O\(^+\), it is possible to calculate the concentration of ammonium ion in the sample, according to the reaction represented by equation IV (Figure 1).

\[ \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \]  

**Equation II**

\[ \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{N} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

**Equation III**

\[ \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Na}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

**Equation IV**

Figure 1. Sequence of reactions in the determination of ammonium ion.

The equation I was employed to calculate the concentration of ammonium ion in the titrations. The numeric value of the equation includes the volumes of the sample and the solutions used, in liters. In the constant, is also embedded the concentration of sodium hydroxide at \(0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1}\). This way, the adjustment of the concentration of sodium hydroxide by the correction factor is necessary.
3.1. Influence of EDTA concentration in the proposed method

The influence effect of EDTA concentration was studied in a range from 0.0 to 15.0 mL of EDTA solution at 100.0 g L\(^{-1}\). The results of Figure 3 show that the presence of EDTA in the reaction medium does not interfere in the quantification of the ammonium ion. A volume of 15.0 mL of EDTA 100.0 g L\(^{-1}\) was therefore used to prevent possible interfering metallic that could precipitate during titration by carrying in the ammonium ion by co-precipitation. Besides, the interference elimination by formation of soluble complexes with EDTA is responsible for buffering the medium by absorbing the H\(_3\)O\(^+\) formed at the moment of imine formation. Subsequently, the H\(_3\)O\(^+\) is gradually released, thereby facilitating that the initial pH is reached. The absence of EDTA in the determination of ammonium ion by this method hinders the pH adjustment, since little variation in the volume of NaOH may result in a large variation in the pH range. This effect is shown in Figure 4.

3.2. Study of amount of formaldehyde

The amount of formaldehyde was studied in the range from 5.0 to 15.0 mL, in order to assess the amount of formaldehyde required to achieve quantitative recovery of ammonium ion. The concentration of ammonium ion was fixed at 3.773 g L\(^{-1}\), and the results were expressed as function of the concentration of ammonium sulfate.

When the amounts of formaldehyde were inferior to 10.0 mL, it was observed that the reaction was not quantitative. In that case, the amount of formaldehyde was insufficient to react with all the ammonium ions present in the sample solution and the reaction was not instantaneous; furthermore, the reaction time was greater. This effect can be explained by the smaller number of formaldehyde molecules in the solution. Still, a volume of 10.0 mL of formaldehyde was sufficient for the quantitative recovery of ammonium ion in the sample when the concentration of ions has not exceeded 3.773 g L\(^{-1}\) (Figure 5).

3.3. Analytical results

The optimization was carried out using univariate methodology with the studied of the following variables: concentration and volume of EDTA and volume of formaldehyde. This study was performed at the experimental
condition fixed of 15 mL of EDTA 100 g L\(^{-1}\) and 10 mL of formaldehyde.

The detection limit (LOD) was found at 1.83 mg L\(^{-1}\) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) was found at 6.11 mg L\(^{-1}\).

The relative error in the analysis was calculated for the gradual diminution of the ammonium concentration. When the ammonium concentration in the solution was reduced down, the relative error of analysis has exponentially increased (Figure 6). In this condition, the minimum concentration that the method can quantify with relative error below 10% is 0.3773 g L\(^{-1}\). Ammonium concentrations below 0.3740 g L\(^{-1}\) cause high relative errors making the method application unsuitable for quantitative analysis.

The precision of the method determined as relative standard deviation in sample solutions containing between 0.400 and 3.773 g L\(^{-1}\) of ammonium is liable to the range of 6.0-0.5%, respectively, calculated by ten measurements.

### 3.4. Application

In order to evaluate the accuracy of the developed procedure, ammonium was determined in soil, oats and fertilizer. The material was decomposed according to procedure previously described, and the samples were then divided into two fractions. One was analyzed by the procedure proposed, while the other was assessed by Kjeldahl procedure; the results were compared for student test \(t\), for \(n = 4\) and at a confidence level of 95%. It was found that there is no significant difference between results obtained by the proposed method and the Kjeldahl results (Table 1). The method proposed can be applied to routine analysis.

#### Table 1. Determination of ammonium in soil, fertilizer and oat samples by proposed methodology and Kjeldahl methodology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Proposed methodology ammonium (mg g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Kjeldahl methodology ammonium (mg g(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat</td>
<td>31.91 ± 0.07</td>
<td>31.94 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td>77.04 ± 0.17</td>
<td>77.06 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed method has been also applied for ammonium determination in water samples collected from São Bartolomeu River, Viçosa, Minas Gerais state, Brazil. The river water was used without any pretreatment. The results are described in Table 2.

Recoveries of spike additions to four samples were quantitative (97.8 – 110.2%). These results also proved that the procedure could be satisfactorily applied for ammonium determination in water samples contaminated by this inorganic ion.

#### Table 2. Determination of ammonium in water samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ammonium (n=3) added (g L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Found (g L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River water</td>
<td>0.000 N.D.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.174</td>
<td>1.294 ± 0.02</td>
<td>110.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.467</td>
<td>1.445 ± 0.01</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.934</td>
<td>2.928 ± 0.01</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5. Comparison between the proposed methodology and the Kjeldahl methodology

The proposed procedure shows some advantage in the ammonium determination in relation to Kjeldahl method. Among them, it should be highlighted: elimination of the distillation stage concerning the ammonium formed in digestion; there is no need for specialized equipment or the assembly of complicated systems of distillation; the system is extremely simple, requiring only a buret, a beaker and a pH meter; and the methodology proposed does not make use of indicators, i.e., the detection of end point is not visual; operator errors can therein be minimized.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed method for ammonium determination, based on the reaction of imine formation by the addition of formaldehyde has been successfully applied for the determination of ammoniacal nitrogen in water, oats, soil and fertilizers. The method has some advantages over the Kjeldahl method, among them, the elimination of ammonia distillation step, the use of specialized equipment or Kjeldahl distillation systems and the use of indicators.
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